

## Judiciary:<sup>1</sup>

### I. Encroachment on the Presidency

#### A. SCOTUS appoints itself as the Ultimate arbiter of disputes between Congress + President, PREEMPTING the political process.

1. “The “constitutional means” to “resist encroachment” that Madison described take various forms. As Justice Scalia observed, the Constitution gives Congress and the President many “clubs with which to beat” each other. Conspicuously absent from the list is running to the courts to resolve their disputes.”

“That omission makes sense. When the Judiciary purports to pronounce a conclusive resolution to constitutional disputes between the other two branches, it does not act as a co-equal. And, if the political branches believe the courts will resolve their constitutional disputes, they have no incentive to debate their differences through the democratic process — with input from and accountability to the people. **And they will not even try to make the hard choices needed to forge compromise.** The long experience of our country is that the political branches can work out their constitutional differences without resort to the courts.”

“How is a court supposed to decide ...whether Congress’s power to collect information in pursuit of its legislative function overrides the President’s power to receive confidential advice in pursuit of his executive function? Nothing in the Constitution provides a manageable standard for resolving such a question.”

#### B. Usurps Presidential Authority using

1. Rubric of “REVIEW”, substituting its judgement for the Executive’s in areas committed to the President’s discretion.
  - a) “In recent years, we have lost sight of the fact that many critical decisions in life are not amenable to the model of judicial decision-making. They cannot be reduced to tidy evidentiary standards and specific quantum of proof in an adversarial process. They require what we used to call prudential judgment. They are decisions that frequently have to be made promptly, on incomplete and uncertain information and necessarily involve weighing a wide range of competing risks and making predictions about the future. Such decisions frequently call into play the “precautionary principle.” This is the principle that when a decision maker is accountable for discharging a certain obligation — such as protecting the public’s safety — it is better, when assessing imperfect information, to be wrong and safe, than wrong and sorry.”
  - b) “It was once well recognized that such matters were largely unreviewable and that the courts should not be substituting their judgments for the prudential judgments reached by the accountable Executive officials. This outlook now seems to have gone by the boards. Courts are now willing, under the banner of judicial review, to substitute their judgment for the President’s on matters that

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.justice.gov/opa/speech/attorney-general-william-p-barr-delivers-19th-annual-barbara-k-olson-memorial-lecture>

only a few decades ago would have been unimaginable – such as matters involving national security or foreign affairs.”

- c) ***With very few exceptions, neither the Constitution, nor the Administrative Procedure Act or any other relevant statute, calls for judicial review of executive motive. They apply only to executive action.***
  - d) Examples: Travel Ban, see below:
  - e) National Injunctions. “The legal flaws underlying nationwide injunctions are myriad. Just to summarize briefly, nationwide injunctions have no foundation in courts’ Article III jurisdiction or traditional equitable powers; they radically inflate the role of district judges, allowing any one of more than 600 individuals to singlehandedly freeze a policy nationwide, a power that no single appellate judge or Justice can accomplish; they foreclose percolation and reasoned debate among lower courts, often requiring the Supreme Court to decide complex legal issues in an emergency posture with limited briefing; they enable transparent forum shopping, which saps public confidence in the integrity of the judiciary; and they displace the settled mechanisms for aggregate litigation of genuinely nationwide claims, such as Rule 23 class actions.”
  - f) “...nationwide injunctions also disrupt the political process.” An example is DACA. “...on the same day the President invited cameras into the Cabinet Room to broadcast his negotiations with bipartisan leaders from both Houses of Congress — a district judge in the Northern District of California enjoined the rescission of DACA nationwide. Unsurprisingly, ***the negotiations over immigration legislation collapsed after one side achieved its preferred outcome through judicial means.***”
2. by assuming direct control over realms of decision-making that heretofore have been considered at the core of Presidential power.
- a) Military: SCOTUS, “...set itself up as the ultimate arbiter and superintendent of military decisions inherent in prosecuting a military conflict – decisions that lie at the very core of the President’s discretion as Commander in Chief.” This usurpation climaxed with the Court’s 2008 decision in *Boumediene*. There, the Supreme Court overturned hundreds of years of American, and earlier British, law and practice, which had always considered decisions as to whether to detain foreign combatants to be purely military judgments which civilian judges had no power to review. For the first time, the Court ruled that foreign persons who had no connection with the United States other than being confronted by our military on the battlefield had “due process” rights and thus have the right to habeas corpus to obtain judicial review of whether the military has a sufficient evidentiary basis to hold them.”

The impact of *Boumediene* has been extremely consequential. For the first time in American history our armed forces is incapable of taking prisoners. We are now in a crazy position that, if we identify a terrorist enemy on the battlefield, such as ISIS, we can kill them with drone or any other weapon. But if we capture them and want to hold them at Guantanamo or in the United States, the military is tied down in developing evidence for an adversarial process and must spend resources in interminable litigation.

Note: Ex Parte Quirin, “In June 1942, the Germans sent two submarines to American shores and dropped off eight would-be saboteurs. All eight were young men who had lived for long periods in the United States. Two were U.S. citizens. At least two of the men (including one U.S. citizen) accepted their assignment only to escape from Germany and return to the United States. After landing, they surrendered themselves to the FBI. They told the bureau everything, and the agency soon picked up the other six.”<sup>2</sup>

“Under the laws of war, the president’s course was entirely legitimate for the six non-citizens. However, because capture hadn’t occurred in the immediate theater of war, his course wasn’t legitimate for the two U.S. citizens. Constitutionally, they were entitled to a writ of habeas corpus and a court order transferring them back to civilian custody. They were also entitled to be indicted by a grand jury and, if indicted—presumably for treason—given a public jury trial.”

“In Ex Parte Quirin (pdf), the Supreme Court quickly rubber-stamped the verdicts and sentences. The justices didn’t wait to prepare an opinion explaining their reasons. They announced only that an opinion would be forthcoming. Just five days after the saboteurs were found guilty, six of the eight men—including one U.S. citizen—were electrocuted.”

- b) National Security & Foreign Affairs. The Travel Ban case is a good example. There the President made a decision under an explicit legislative grant of authority, as well as his Constitutional national security role, to temporarily suspend entry to aliens coming from a half dozen countries pending adoption of more effective vetting processes. The common denominator of the initial countries selected was that they were unquestionable hubs of terrorism activity, which lacked functional central government’s and responsible law enforcement and intelligence services that could assist us in identifying security risks among their nationals seeking entry. Despite the fact there were clearly justifiable security grounds for the measure, the district court in Hawaii and the Ninth Circuit blocked this public-safety measure for a year and half on the theory that the President’s motive for the order was religious bias against Muslims.

## II. Ignoring Art III Original Jurisdiction

### A. Texas v. Pennsylvania et al.<sup>3 4</sup>

- (1) SCOTUS declines a case that’s within it’s Original Jurisdiction, ( a state suing another state). Statement of Justice Alito, with whom Justice Thomas joins: “In my view, we do not have discretion to deny the filing of a bill of complaint in a case that falls within our original jurisdiction. See Arizona v. California, 589 U. S. \_\_\_\_ (Feb. 24, 2020) (Thomas, J., dissenting). I would therefore grant the motion

---

<sup>2</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/how-the-supreme-court-rewrote-the-constitution-4\\_4242910.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/how-the-supreme-court-rewrote-the-constitution-4_4242910.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)

<sup>3</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/17-states-urge-supreme-court-to-review-texas-bid-to-challenge-election-in-battleground-states\\_3610837.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/17-states-urge-supreme-court-to-review-texas-bid-to-challenge-election-in-battleground-states_3610837.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)

<sup>4</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/pennsylvania-house-leaders-file-brief-to-support-texas-in-supreme-court-lawsuit-against-pennsylvania\\_3613557.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/pennsylvania-house-leaders-file-brief-to-support-texas-in-supreme-court-lawsuit-against-pennsylvania_3613557.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)

to file the bill of complaint but would not grant other relief, and I express no view on any other issue.”<sup>5</sup>

## FBI

- I. Extraordinary Use of Paid Informants, (or should I say “Provocateurs?”)<sup>6</sup>
  - A. Governor Whitmer Kidnapping Plot Case Clouded by Informants’ Roles<sup>7</sup>
    1. In October 2020, Justice announced the arrest of six men who were said to be conspiring over a six-month period to kidnap Governor Whitmer. Eight others were charged under Michigan’s anti-terrorism statutes for providing material support to the plotters.
    2. In court proceedings, the feds have shared the identification numbers of 12 confidential informants involved in Michigan Governor Gretchen Whitmer’s kidnapping plot, but refused to provide recruitments methods, payments, locations, and names for all but one.
    3. However, as BuzzFeed News reported, “some of those informants, acting under the direction of the FBI, played a far larger role than has previously been reported. Working in secret, they did more than just passively observe and report on the actions of the suspects. Instead, they had a hand in nearly every aspect of the alleged plot, starting with its inception. ***The extent of their involvement raises questions as to whether there would have even been a conspiracy without them.***”
  - B. January 6th Capitol ‘Riot’ Had At Least Two Embedded FBI Informants<sup>8</sup>
    1. In September, the New York Times reported a bit of a bombshell: at least two informants embedded with the U.S. Capitol crowd were in close contact with their FBI handlers on January 6th. As reporter Julie Kelly at American Greatness details it, One “informant, according to ‘confidential documents’ furnished to the paper, started working with the FBI in July 2020 and was in close contact with his FBI handler before, during, and after the Capitol protest.”
    2. ...the FBI originally put Ray Epps’s face on its Capitol Violence “Most Wanted List” on January 8, 2021, just two days after 1/6. They offered a cash reward for information leading to his arrest. In fact, rank-and-file FBI agents initially deemed Epps’s role as an apparent riot organizer so important that they named him Suspect

---

<sup>5</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/texas-ag-supreme-court-should-at-least-hear-our-arguments\\_3617038.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/texas-ag-supreme-court-should-at-least-hear-our-arguments_3617038.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)

<sup>6</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/facts-matter-dec-15-548-million-given-to-informants-by-fbi-and-other-agencies-many-commit-authorized-crime\\_4160130.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/facts-matter-dec-15-548-million-given-to-informants-by-fbi-and-other-agencies-many-commit-authorized-crime_4160130.html)

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.openthebooks.com/forbes-fbi--other-agencies-paid-informants-548-million-in-recent-years-with-many-committing-authorized-crimes/>

<sup>8</sup> Ditto

#16—one of the first 20 high-profile FBI targets in a database now packed with more than 500 suspects.<sup>9</sup>

3. Then, six months later on June 30, 2021, both Revolver News and The New York Times published inconvenient stories that encouraged a more aggressive interrogation of the “Ray Epps third rail,” leading reasonable people to wonder why this publicly identified man on the Most Wanted List still had no charges filed against him.
4. The FBI responded to these important media stories the very next day. But their response was to quietly purge all online Ray Epps files from their website, then switch to a posture of “What? Who? Ray Epps? Never heard of him.”

## CCP

### I. Communist infiltration to Change the Electoral Map.

#### A. Trever Loudon, Why Communists Are Focused Heavily on Turning Texas Blue. Counterpunch, October 20, 2021, Epoch TV, TheEpochTimes.com.<sup>10</sup>

1. Chinese backed Liberation Road<sup>11</sup> and the state based electoral organizations in that network.
  - a) Detroit: “Detroit Action”;
  - b) Milwaukee, Wisconsin: “Black Leaders Organizing for Communities”;
  - c) “Pennsylvania Stands UP”;
  - d) “The New Georgia Project”;
  - e) “New Virginia Majority”;
  - f) “The Carolina Federation”, North Carolina;
  - g) “New Florida Majority”
  - h) “Texas Organizing Project”, formerly ACORN rebranded.
2. Election Maps generated by a Liberation Road communist working out of Wuhan University, China.<sup>12</sup>
3. Training at “Rockwood Leadership Institute” and Stanford University
4. Funding through “Democracy Alliance”;
5. Texas Organizing Project (TOP) PAC, “In 2018, we ran our largest program to date, reaching out to more than 900,000 voters in four counties (Harris, Dallas, Bexar, and Fort Bend) and **turning out 465,405 voters, of which 270,474 were new midterm voters.**”

---

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.revolver.news/2021/12/damning-new-details-massive-web-unindicted-operators-january-6/>

<sup>10</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/why-communists-are-focused-heavily-on-turning-texas-blue\\_4059324.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/why-communists-are-focused-heavily-on-turning-texas-blue_4059324.html)

<sup>11</sup> <https://roadtoliberation.org/about-us/who-we-are/>

<sup>12</sup> Ditto #10; Timestamp 02:34

## Free Speech

### I. State Enforcement to protect free speech.

- A. Texas investigates Twitter's cancellation of former Pres. Trump's speech and Twitter sues to stop the Texas investigation claiming violation of Twitter's free speech.
  1. Amici Curiae brief in support of Twitter says six free speech advocacy groups — including the Electronic Frontier Foundation and Center for Democracy and Technology — argued the Texas probe threatens to chill the free speech rights of social media firms. Such investigations would subject online platforms to potential civil liability based on their constitutionally protected editorial judgments, the groups argued.

“These chilling effects ultimately harm the public, which relies on hosts to make important and timely content moderation decisions regarding disinformation, hate speech, harassment, and other such content, and which benefits from competition and diversity in the market of providers of user-generated content,” attorney Caitlin Vogus wrote on behalf of the groups.<sup>13</sup>
  2. Rejecting that argument the court said: “Twitter’s statements can be investigated as misleading just like the statements of any other business,” [U. S. Circuit Court Judge Ryan] Nelson wrote.<sup>14 15</sup>
- B. Gov. Ron DeSantis says Florida's Atty Gen will investigate cancellation of the GoFundMe campaign in support of the Freedom Convoy, as ‘deceptive practices’.<sup>16</sup>

### II. Health and Medical suppression of free speech.

- A. Johns Hopkins University, an often quoted source for the number of Covid cases and Covid deaths in the United States publishes an article in the Johns Hopkins News-Letter that says that the expected spike in deaths in 2020 versus the pre-pandemic year, 2019, never materialized. **“These data analyses suggest that in contrast to most people’s assumptions, the number of deaths by COVID-19 is not alarming. In fact, it has relatively no effect on deaths in the United States.”**<sup>17</sup> This means that all other causes stopped killing people, like the flu which strangely disappeared in 2020, or that deaths caused by heart disease, cancer and COPD were misattributed to Covid.<sup>18</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> <https://www.courthousenews.com/ninth-circuit-rejects-twitter-retaliation-suit-against-texas/>

<sup>14</sup> Ditto.

<sup>15</sup> <https://www.courthousenews.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/twitter-paxton-opinion.pdf>

<sup>16</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/ottawa-police-chief-takes-credit-for-trucker-convoy-gofundme-cancellation\\_4264324.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/ottawa-police-chief-takes-credit-for-trucker-convoy-gofundme-cancellation_4264324.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)

<sup>17</sup> <https://web.archive.org/web/20201126163323/https://www.jhunewsletter.com/article/2020/11/a-closer-look-at-u-s-deaths-due-to-covid-19>

<sup>18</sup> Axelman, Alu; Corona-Fascism: How Politicians Used A Virus to Eliminate Privacy, Enrich Themselves, And Put the Final Nail In Liberty's Coffin. Defiance Press & Publishing 2021. Pp 28-29.

The aforementioned article, was retracted, why?<sup>19</sup> **Normally science welcomes debate and the presentation of contrary evidence, not censure.** And Johns Hopkins apologized for allowing the article to be published. In what country are apologies demanded for offending the state?

B. The Lancet, a prestigious science journal with an approximate 200 year history, out and out lied about the likely Covid 19 origins<sup>20</sup> and withdrew an article disparaging Hydroxychloroquine as a therapeutic treatment for Covid.<sup>21</sup>

1. Criticizing the chloroquine study and other fake studies another scientific journal said:

a) “The countries of the South use hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine on a massive scale, just as they used them before for malaria, or still use them now for systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatic diseases. **And, as more than 2 billion people at least have used this treatment, they have the greatest difficulty in believing that this product has become, by 2020, an extremely toxic product.**”

**“Coincidentally or as a consequence, the countries with the highest mortality from COVID-19 are also the countries that have demonized chloroquine the most, i.e. Western Europe and part of the United States.**

There is therefore a geographical pro- or anti-chloroquine correlation, on the one hand North-South, on the other hand West-East, which is beyond scientific data.”<sup>22</sup>

C. Climate scientists has been eviscerated by the “Hockey Stick” global warming lie espoused by former U.S. Vice President Al Gore. Evidence to date reveals that cyclic changes in the Sun’s energy output, over a 22 year cycle and greater timescale cycles, cause climate changes, including well measured changes such as El Niño and La Niña. Cosmic rays can seed cloud formation, causing changes in the amount of the sun’s radiation that is reflected back into space. But beyond the scientific evidence is the cooption of the United Nation’s peer reviewed science report that was disregarded, and rewritten by one person to create the global warming hoax. More on this later...

D. Journalism and Science, or more precisely, what was journalism, exposed.

1. The Sharyl Attkisson Podcast:

a) Paul Thacker has insight into Dr. Fauci’s emails and conflicts at play when it comes to scientists, public health officials, and science reporters.<sup>23</sup>

---

<sup>19</sup> <https://politicofire.com/2020/11/30/horowitz-hopkins-analysis-showing-covid-19-has-relatively-no-effect-on-deaths-in-us-retracted-from-publication-why/>

<sup>20</sup> <https://media.mercola.com/ImageServer/Public/2021/July/PDF/science-journals-disinformation-campaign-pdf.pdf>

<sup>21</sup> [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(20\)31324-6/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)31324-6/fulltext)

<sup>22</sup> [https://www.ejinme.com/article/S0953-6205\(20\)30266-1/fulltext](https://www.ejinme.com/article/S0953-6205(20)30266-1/fulltext)

<sup>23</sup> The Sharyl Attkisson Podcast, #126. “Paul Thacker: Scientific Corruption and Conflicts in Covering Covid”, Jan. 20, 2022

- b) What would make a CDC senior scientist call the head of vaccine safety “a pathetic liar”?<sup>24</sup>
  - c) How information manipulation could be destroying our health.<sup>25</sup>
  - d) Why Public Health Officials Are Their Own Worst Enemy<sup>26</sup>
- III. Journalism and Big Tech, from the erosion of journalism’s standards to Big Tech never having any standards in the first place. Again Sharyl Attkisson provides deep dives into this subject, starting with “Astroturfing”, as in fake grassroots, creating the impression that an opinion has popular support when in fact it does not.
- a) How astroturfing tactics are eroding journalism.<sup>27</sup>
    - (1) Factual news gathering replaced by special interests spewing their version.
    - (2) The use of subtle persuasion, that is, instead of directly asking for your vote or to buy a product, the special interest create comments on social media or hire experts to write about issues in a way that causes the reader to think the way they want, or vote and buy the product. The real interests behind the writings are never disclosed.
    - (3) Fake Science. Here Sharyl is not talking about suppression of facts and opinion, but actual fake studies and articles planted in science journals. According to the Editor in Chief of Lancet and the former Editor of the New England Journal of Medicine, most of the literature in published journals, used by doctors and journalists as an information source, is not to be believed.<sup>28</sup>
  - b) Wikipedia and Information Manipulation.<sup>29</sup> Addressed here and also in the Astroturfing episode, is how the Wikipedia editorial hierarchy is formed and how they overrule or erase any submission that counters the embedded philosophy they want to espouse. The editors are not independent, but in many cases are working for undisclosed special interests.
  - c) **Wikipedia Co-founder Larry Sanger: Why Wikipedia Has Failed and What to Do About It.**<sup>30</sup> He suggests blog submissions using a style template that essentially creates for each article a discussion rather than one viewpoint.
  - d) The anatomy of a fake Facebook fact check.<sup>31</sup>

---

<sup>24</sup> The Sharyl Attkisson Podcast, #99. “What would make a CDC senior scientist call the head of vaccine safety “a pathetic liar”? June 25, 2021.

<sup>25</sup> Ditto, #not numbered. “How information manipulation could be destroying our health”. May 2020

<sup>26</sup> the Sharyl Attkisson Podcast, #93. “Why Public Health Officials Are Their Own Worst Enemy”. May 14, 2021.

<sup>27</sup> Ditto. Episode #43. “How astroturfing tactics are eroding journalism”. May 1, 2020.

<sup>28</sup> Ditto footnote 27, timestamp starting ~04:57.

<sup>29</sup> The Sharyl Attkisson Podcast, #74. “Wikipedia and Information Manipulation”, Dec 18, 2020

<sup>30</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/wikipedia-co-founder-larry-sanger-why-wikipedia-has-failed-and-what-to-do-about-it\\_4016965.html?utm\\_source=ref\\_share&utm\\_campaign=copy&rs=SHRNSLNG&](https://www.theepochtimes.com/wikipedia-co-founder-larry-sanger-why-wikipedia-has-failed-and-what-to-do-about-it_4016965.html?utm_source=ref_share&utm_campaign=copy&rs=SHRNSLNG&)

<sup>31</sup> Ditto, Episode #41. “The anatomy of fake Facebook fact check”, April 17, 2020

- IV. Social Media power curtailing free speech, deplatforming.
  - A. Sen. Elizabeth Warren (D-Mass.) has raised concerns about Facebook over its decision to uphold its ban on former President Donald Trump, saying the social media giant has become too powerful and should be broken up.<sup>32</sup>
- V. Prosecutorial efforts to curtail free speech.
  - A. Sens. Elizabeth Warren (D-Mass.) and Dick Durbin (D-Ill.) are raising questions about the rights of people charged in connection with the Jan. 6 Capitol breach, saying that some are being held in solitary confinement—even before their trials have begun.
 

“ ‘Solitary confinement is a form of punishment that is cruel and psychologically damaging,’ Warren said in an interview with Politico. ‘And we’re talking about people who haven’t been convicted of anything yet.’ ”<sup>33</sup>
- VI. Billionaire speech.
  - A. Special Counsel finds Mark Zuckerberg’s Election Money Violated Wisconsin Bribery Laws.<sup>34</sup>
  - B. Documents Show Bill Gates Gave \$319 Million to Media Outlets, Promotion of Global Agenda<sup>35</sup>
  - C. George Soros is now funding “anti-disinformation” media companies in order to “restore social trust.”<sup>36</sup>

## The Administrative State (Deep State)

- I. If you thought the President runs the executive branch and makes policy, well, think again:
  - A. ‘The Interagency’ isn’t supposed to rule.<sup>37</sup> “When the war on terror opened, with all the secret activity it required, professional cadres in the diplomatic corps, the military and the nation’s many intelligence agencies were able to transform interagency cooperative agreements that had existed since the Cold War into ***a de facto agency—a largely informal and virtual bureaucracy—with the assumed power, if need be, to determine and execute a foreign policy at odds with the intent of the president and Congress.***”

<sup>32</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/warren-weighs-in-on-trump-facebook-ban-theyre-acting-like-theyre-bigger-than-government\\_3809541.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/warren-weighs-in-on-trump-facebook-ban-theyre-acting-like-theyre-bigger-than-government_3809541.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)

<sup>33</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/sen-warren-speaks-out-on-treatment-of-jan-6-capitol-breach-detainees-in-isolation\\_3790149.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/sen-warren-speaks-out-on-treatment-of-jan-6-capitol-breach-detainees-in-isolation_3790149.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)

<sup>34</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/facts-matter-march-2-special-counsel-finds-mark-zuckerbergs-election-money-violated-wisconsin-bribery-laws\\_4313037.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/facts-matter-march-2-special-counsel-finds-mark-zuckerbergs-election-money-violated-wisconsin-bribery-laws_4313037.html)

<sup>35</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/facts-matter-jan-12-documents-show-bill-gates-gave-319-million-to-media-outlets-promotion-of-global-agenda\\_4209901.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/facts-matter-jan-12-documents-show-bill-gates-gave-319-million-to-media-outlets-promotion-of-global-agenda_4209901.html)

<sup>36</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/facts-matter-nov-2-soros-funding-anti-disinformation-media-companies-transfers-18b-to-foundation\\_4082895.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/facts-matter-nov-2-soros-funding-anti-disinformation-media-companies-transfers-18b-to-foundation_4082895.html)

<sup>37</sup> <https://www.wsj.com/articles/the-interagency-isnt-supposed-to-rule-11575505183?page=1>  
 Carl J. Schramm, Dec. 4, 2019 7:19 pm ET, WSJ online.

In testimony “before the House Intelligence Committee, State Department official George Kent, seemed to conceive of the interagency as the definitive source of foreign-policy consensus. That Mr. Trump’s alleged decision to withhold military aid to Ukraine deviated from that consensus was, for Mr. Kent, prima facie evidence that it was misguided.”

“Next up, Ambassador William Taylor told the committee that it was the “unanimous opinion of every level of interagency discussion” that the aid should be resumed without delay. Fiona Hill, a former National Security Council official, gave the game away by admitting how upset she was that Gordon Sondland, President Trump’s ambassador to the European Union, had established an “alternative” approach to helping Kyiv. “We have a robust interagency process that deals with Ukraine,” she said.”

- B. The Senior Executive Service. The federal civil service is made up of individuals other than military personnel who are employed by the executive, legislative, or judicial branches. The civil service is subdivided into the “competitive service”, the “excepted service”, and the Senior Executive Service.<sup>38</sup>

The Senior Executive Service (SES) was created under the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 as a separate tier of government administrators "designed to attract and retain highly competent senior executives," according to the legislation. Members of the SES are recruited for their leadership and managerial experience and are hired to serve in senior executive roles below top-level presidential appointees within federal administrative agencies. Approximately 75 federal agencies employ SES members, according to a March 2017 report by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). **An OPM analysis in April 2018 identified 7,906 members of the SES during fiscal year 2017.**<sup>39</sup>

- C. Directives from the Cabinet Secretary downward or guidance from staff upward may need to travel through 30- 40 layers of personnel. “Whatever the cause, the layering increases the distance that ideas must travel up to reach the secretary and guidance must travel down to the front lines of government. More hands must touch the paper, more signatures grace the page, and more eyes read the memos. It is impossible for the top to know what the bottom is doing when the bottom remains thirty, forty, or more layers below; it’s impossible for the bottom to hear the top when messages go through dozens of interpretations on their journey down. Like the game of gossip in which messages become hopelessly distorted as they are relayed from child to child, the layers merely add to the potential confusion and loss of accountability between the top and the bottom.”<sup>40</sup>
- D. [ballotpedia.org](https://ballotpedia.org) identifies “five pillars” essential to understanding the administrative state.<sup>41</sup> These are:
  - 1. Nondelegation doctrine. Legislative bodies can not delegate the law making power to executive agencies or private entities. Delegating would violate the separation of powers principle.

---

<sup>38</sup> [https://ballotpedia.org/Senior\\_Executive\\_Service](https://ballotpedia.org/Senior_Executive_Service)

<sup>39</sup> ditto.

<sup>40</sup> <https://www.brookings.edu/research/the-changing-shape-of-government/>

<sup>41</sup> [https://ballotpedia.org/Administrative\\_state](https://ballotpedia.org/Administrative_state)

2. Judicial deference. Courts accept an agency’s interpretation of a statute or regulation even if the court would have arrived at a different interpretation.
  3. Executive control of agencies. “Executive control is primarily exercised through appointment and removal power—the authority of an executive to appoint and remove officials in the various branches of government.” However, the “president has the authority to remove his appointees from office, **but the heads of independent federal agencies can only be removed for cause.**”
  4. “Procedural rights encompasses debates about individual due process and standing before administrative agency adjudication and enforcement actions. Procedural rights also include citizen access agency rulemaking processes and decision making proceedings.”
  5. Agency dynamics. “While the majority of agencies are housed under the executive branch, others are established as independent federal agencies or are housed under the legislative or judicial branches. These structural variations impact agency oversight as well as agency interactions across branches.”
- E. Some of these “agencies” or regulations:
1. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB). “The PCAOB was established by Congress in the wake of the record-setting bankruptcies of WorldCom and Enron in 2002. The board is tasked with overseeing audits of public companies with the goal of protecting investors.”<sup>42</sup>
  2. They want your IRA. Regulations designed to force money out of privately held IRA accounts into government controlled accounts.<sup>43</sup>
  3. The Biden-Warren Bank Heist.<sup>44</sup> Sen. Elizabeth Warren controls the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, (CFPB); & the Federal Deposit Insurance Corp, (FDIC). Her former staffer, Bharat Ramamurti, is deputy director of the White House National Economic Council. His fingerprints were all over the failed nomination of Saule Omarova to be Comptroller of the Currency. Wally Adeyemo, who helped Ms. Warren establish the CFPB, is now deputy Treasury secretary. Lina Khan runs the Federal Trade Commission. Graham Steele, a former aide to Warren Senate ally Sherrod Brown, is assistant Treasury secretary for financial institutions. There are many others.

One result is that Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen seems to have little influence over financial regulation.

4. Dr. Fauci and the 2019 plan to scare people into accepting an experimental class of vaccine and avoid 10 years of clinical testing.<sup>45</sup> The implementation reverses the Trump middle class economic boom and enriches the rich. **“Dr. Fauci’s business closures pulverized America’s middle class and engineered the largest upward**

---

<sup>42</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/sec-removes-trump-appointed-audit-watchdog\\_3845358.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/sec-removes-trump-appointed-audit-watchdog_3845358.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)

<sup>43</sup> <http://www.wsj.com/articles/they-want-your-ira-1459985170>

<sup>44</sup> <https://www.wsj.com/articles/the-senator-elizabeth-warren-biden-bank-heist-fdic-jelena-mcwilliams-rohit-chopra-gruenberg-khan-cfpb-federal-reserve-nominee-11641155812>

<sup>45</sup> <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2021/10/explosive-video-emerges-fauci-hhs-officials-plotting-new-avian-flu-virus-enforce-universal-flu-vaccination-video/>

**transfer of wealth in human history.** In 2020, workers lost \$3.7 trillion while billionaires gained \$3.9 trillion.

**“Some 493 individuals became new billionaires, and an additional 8 million Americans dropped below the poverty line.”**

“The biggest winners were the robber barons—the very companies that were cheerleading Dr. Fauci’s lockdown and censoring his critics: Big Technology, Big Data, Big Telecom, Big Finance, Big Media behemoths (Michael Bloomberg, Rupert Murdoch, Viacom, and Disney), and Silicon Valley Internet titans like Jeff Bezos, Bill Gates, Mark Zuckerberg, Eric Schmidt, Sergey Brin, Larry Page, Larry Ellison, and Jack Dorsey.”<sup>46</sup>

---

<sup>46</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/anthony-fauci-and-the-creation-of-the-bio-security-state\\_4140998.html?utm\\_source=ai&utm\\_medium=search](https://www.theepochtimes.com/anthony-fauci-and-the-creation-of-the-bio-security-state_4140998.html?utm_source=ai&utm_medium=search)